

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 93 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 97.24 % | 93.02 % | 13 | 93.55 % | 85 | 83 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 87.29 % | 85.70 % | 33 | 86.03 % | 47 | 49 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 5.48 | 5.84 | 1 | 4.83 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 83.18 % | 73.48 % | 41 | 81.34 % | 32 | 28 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 99.49 % | 69.70 % | 8 | 70.65 % | 95 | 95 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 71.99 % | 81.37 % | 72 | 81.57 % | 18 | 19 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 45.18 % | 41.01 % | 43 | 45.66 % | 47 | 47 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 95.78 % | 96.42 % | 56 | 96.25 % | 27 | 30 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 16 / 93 | Nationally: | 74 75 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$54,955 | \$35,678 | | \$27,146 | 332 Units | 90.50 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$37,606 | \$21,129 | | \$15,140 | 15 Units | 4.10 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$22,138 | \$27,430 | | \$20,860 | 20 Units | 5.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,699 | | \$3,210 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Glendale CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$234,601 | \$203,739 | \$22,279 |
| State:* | \$137,282 | \$115,376 | \$28,718 |
| National:** | \$97,127 | \$76,352 | \$23,684 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| PJ: | 0.0 % |
| National Avg: | 1.2 % |

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.05

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 75.2 | 13.3 | 80.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 19.2 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 5.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 30.2 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 2.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 18.9 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 28.6 | 73.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 14.8 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 34.3 | 6.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 11.9 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 22.3 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 9.1 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 23.6 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 21.7 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 64.5 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 7.9 | 33.3 | 15.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 1.9 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 1.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 319 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Glendale State: CA Group Rank: 74
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 16 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 75
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 83.18 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 99.49 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 71.99 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 95.78 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.030 | 2.02 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

